

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/789,446	DUMAS ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Raymond Covington	1625	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Raymond Covington. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Traverso (app rep). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 August 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

1-41

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants elected claims 1-7,19-25, 29-41 without prejudice to their right to file further applications drawn to the canceled non-elected subject matter as set forth in the previous restriction requirement. Claims 8-18 and 26-28 are canceled. the dependency of claim 30 has been changed from claim 10 to claim 1. claims 1-7,19-25, 29-41 are allowed.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Raymond Covington/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

